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Abstract

Does it matter where the academic home of the study of adult education is? In this paper we share our reflections on the implications of the institutional location of the discipline of adult education within universities in Canada and elsewhere. We speak of the historic tensions between the less structured and often transformational vision of adult education and the highly regulated learning contexts of schooling, and we suggest adult education theory and practice can be found in many other disciplinary clusters in all our universities. Wherever the formal study for adult education is located, its purpose is always to strengthen capacity for resistance and transformation.

Résumé

Est-il important où se trouve l’étude de l’éducation des adultes dans le université? Dans cet article, nous partageons nos réflexions sur les implications de la localisation institutionnelle de la discipline de l’éducation des adultes dans les universités au Canada et ailleurs. Nous parlons des tensions historiques entre la vision moins structuré et souvent de transformation de l’éducation des adultes et les contextes d’apprentissage très réglementés de scolarité, et nous suggérons la théorie de l’éducation des adultes et la pratique peuvent être trouvés dans de nombreux autres disciplines dans toutes nos universités. Partout où l’étude formelle de l’éducation des adultes se trouve, son but est toujours de renforcer la capacité de résistance et de transformation.
Introduction

Budd: I am a settler Canadian or settler colonizer of English heritage who lives and works on Coast and Straits Salish traditional territory on what is currently known as Vancouver Island. My great-grandparents settled on Vancouver Island in the later 19th century on what was once the traditional territory of the Halalt First Nations people.

I have been associated with the field of adult education since 1970, having worked at the University of Dar es Salaam in Tanzania, the International Council for Adult Education (ICAE), the University of Toronto, and the University of Victoria. I have been both a chair of an adult education department (University of Toronto) and a dean of a faculty of education (University of Victoria). I currently teach in a community development program located in a school of public administration in a faculty of human and social development. My view of teaching and learning, while evolving over the years, has always been seen from a lens of social change, transformation, or resistance. The poem we use to conclude this article captures the spirit of what we both mean by adult education.

I remember a discussion with the late Paul Bertelsen, the Danish former head of adult education in UNESCO. His question was similar to ours, but referring to adult education within a government. “Which is the best ministry for adult education to be located in?” he wondered. In most countries adult education was found in ministries of education, but in a number of countries adult education was located in ministries of social development, community development, or rural development. Bertelsen maintained that the adult education programs located in ministries other than education had the advantage. They represented a more substantial proportion of the non-educational ministries; they received more visibility and were seen to be more action-oriented or even more politically active than adult education programs in ministries of education. When a ministry of education hosts adult education, the latter is always the small cousin, the strange boat in a sea of schooling. The sheer scale of institutions of schooling is such that programs dealing with the education of adults remain largely invisible. Adult education does not fit with 95 percent of the work being done to support the schooling enterprise.

Darlene: I am a woman settler of English colonizer stock who has had the privilege of working and learning on the Coast Salish lands of Vancouver Island, British Columbia, for the past 12 years. Although Ontario is where I was born, British Columbia and the University of Victoria have given me a chance to learn things from indigenous peoples I would not have at the University of Toronto.

Although I continually inquire, I have yet to find anyone who actually chose to become an adult educator. This is not true of the majority of teachers I have met who frequently felt a vocation, a drive to teach children from an early age. Like many of my colleagues, I fell, headlong, into the field. In 1986 I returned to Toronto after living abroad – Latin America, Europe, and the Caribbean – for almost six years and was invited by a friend, D’Arcy Martin, a labour educator, to assist him with the Workers’ Education Programme of the ICAE. For a number of reasons I ended up staying with the ICAE for many years in various roles, but I remember with clarity many moments of the early days when I sat listening to adult educators from Uganda, India, Argentina, Chile, Jamaica, China, and the Sudan totally perplexed by a complex understanding of teaching and learning that seemed both unstructured and ungovernable; discussions of literacy that had little to do
with “proper” reading and writing; understandings of people’s knowledge that implied they knew important things; discussions of learning sites that defied physicality; descriptions of participatory form of research that went against all the university rules of objective inquiry; ideas of a ‘popular’ theatre that lacked any semblance of a script; and considerations of other practices that had nothing to do with “real” education, which everyone knew was schools! I confess it took me a few years to sort adult education out, and I will be forever indebted to Selman, Selman, Cooke and Dampier (1998) for their book *The Foundations of Adult Education in Canada* which I actually spent time perusing in my hotel room during the Canadian Association for the Study of Adult Education (CASAE) meeting in Montreal in the 1990s while some 80 members of CASAE held forth on the topic on the ground floor. In the opening chapter, Selman et al. drew attention to the confusion around terminology as well as a number of definitions and means of adult education. Ah, so it is not just me!

My own bewilderment regarding adult education has enabled me to empathize with students in my classes, particularly schoolteachers, who look so utterly disoriented as I pull them deeper into the labyrinth of adult education theory and practice. After all, school-based education is a coherent discourse of teachers, children, lessons, curriculum, a physical building, and a set number of hours. After-school activities may be somewhat nebulous and unstructured, but remain some distance from the impenetrable and amorphous practice of adult education. And yet, as an adult educator, I believe that, despite the complexity of the field, all students can come to understand it in its density, as I did, and that the adult education I believe in is as relevant to schoolteachers as it is to community activists, higher-education ESL teachers, labour educators, anti-racist facilitators, government ministry employees, and trainers. My point of departure as an adult educator and a feminist is a commitment to the social and critical purpose of adult education with its baseline values of social and ecological justice; greater gender equity; economic, social, workplace, and political equity; cultural diversity; and promotion of an active, engaged, and subversively imaginative citizenry able to envision a better, fairer, healthier, and more sustainable world where all forms of education have a role to play.

*Budd*: I am no longer as contemporary with the institutional arrangements for all of adult education graduate programs across Canada as I once was. I do recall that, for some time, adult education at St. Francis Xavier University was independent, flying free of the Faculty of Education. It seemed to me to be doing wonderfully in that administrative arrangement. However, I understand this has now changed. And I recall the fierce philosophical positions held by Professor Claude Touchette of the University of Montreal who insisted that *andragogie* was a totally independent discipline, having more to do with sociology or social psychology than the pedagogy of schooling. Disappointingly, the Department of Andragogy has also disappeared from there as well.

I spent the longest portion of my academic life at the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE), itself an independent research and graduate teaching centre associated, for degree-granting purposes, with the University of Toronto. OISE, from its birth in the 1960s to its merger with the University of Toronto in the mid-1990s, was a splendid base for the pursuit of progressive practice-based adult education. For most of that period we had a stand-alone department of adult education closest philosophically to the Department of Sociology and Equity Studies at OISE or the Environmental Studies unit at York University than to the other departments such as curriculum, history, psychology, et cetera. And even
after the merger with the University of Toronto that brought its Faculty of Education into our world at OISE, adult education remained high profile, attracted diverse and clever students and scholars, and continued the social change traditions that had been part of its birthright. Others who know the situation better would be able to speculate on the situation now in 2014 or 2015. Adult education at OISE is of course now sharing the bunkhouse with both administration and higher education. The heavy hand of schooling after nearly 40 years may be limiting the horizons for the adult educators there now – but perhaps, and I hope, I am wrong.

Darlene: Among many other things, working at the ICAE encouraged me to return to university, and I chose the University of Toronto. Naturally, in seeking a program that would suit my growing interest in adult education, I made an appointment to see the undergraduate advisor in the then Faculty of Education. As I lowered myself into the seat across from him, his first question was why I wanted to study in that faculty. A fair question, and I began to explain the work of the ICAE, its commitment to an educational practice for social change and people’s empowerment, its critique of dominant ideologies that marginalize and oppress, and its struggle against the wilful ignorance of governments vis-à-vis social and environmental problems. I plunged along, breathless with excitement and astonishment at my grasp of the field, until he sighed and raised his hand to stem the tide. He explained carefully, as if to a fool or small child – I have come to realize they are often one and the same in these faculties – that what I was articulating was simply not “education.” If I were to study in the Faculty of Education, and his raised eyebrow suggested there would be little hope of that given my scurrilous lack of comprehension, I would need to become more disciplined, think more rationally, design lesson plans, apply classroom management strategies, follow the rules, and trust the guiding visions of the government. I would also need to volunteer many hours with a school and understand its culture before even contemplating a course of study. “Dull,” I said sotto voce. “Thank you for your time,” I said alto voce. Clearly a faculty of education was not for me. Yet, of course, here I am.

Budd: In 2001 after 10 years working full-time at OISE, I was offered a position as dean of the Faculty of Education at the University of Victoria. For a number of adult educators, the dream of being a dean of a faculty of education that might be coaxed into becoming a faculty of lifelong learning has been a powerful fantasy. It had been for my friend and mentor, the late Alan Thomas, who was disappointed that he was never offered the position of director of OISE. And so it was for me. The job description indicated they were looking for someone who had an interest in indigenous education, in diversity, and in lifelong learning and who brought an international perspective. It seemed to fit me to a tee, and following an interview I was offered the job and took it. I, of course, soon discovered there was no adult education program in the faculty, despite the courses outlined in the calendar, and never really had there been, nor was there much understanding or interest in what an idea such as lifelong learning might mean to the faculty. My own academic appointment was made to Curriculum and Instruction, the unit that did all the heavy lifting in the teacher preparation program. Darlene Clover, my adult education companion, was appointed to Leadership Studies and Educational Psychology. Her face went pale, I will always remember, when she was told this.
The focus of my work over my five-year term as dean shifted from an overall dream of a faculty of lifelong learning to the support of groups of progressive scholars who were determined to create space for indigenous education, environmental education, links between physical activity and transformative learning, global linkages, and community leadership. As it happened, while we made some very satisfying progress in these areas of learning, a narrow majority of faculty members found this focus entirely unsuitable to the world of the preparation of teachers. A stealth campaign was launched and my bid to return as dean for a couple more years was defeated by the margin of one vote. Although this was crushing in the beginning, it turned out to be the best thing that could have happened. Released from the shackles of teacher preparation and the Ministry of Education, I was able to create a centre for community-based research based on adult education principles of participatory research.

The person who has done the most to bring visibility to the principles of adult education and learning in the Faculty of Education at the University of Victoria has been you, Darlene Clover. You and your colleagues have promoted alternative practice for student teachers in adult education settings and have opened the most room for adult education in the faculty’s history. You and they have successfully created a suite of progressive and interesting courses based on principles of adult education in the Leadership Studies program. Leadership Studies at the University of Victoria has gone from being concerned solely with training school principals to having a strong community leadership and activist as well as arts-based component that attracts students from across Canada, around the world, and every other faculty at the university itself.

Darlene: Lovely sentiments, but let me disabuse you of any notion that I have had much of an impact on the Faculty of Education. A few months after my arrival at the University of Victoria, I was told flatly by a colleague with a straight face: “Only children learn and they only learn in school. Teachers cannot learn, they can only be trained.” Oh dear, wrong turn. As a simple limited-term appointment, I cried myself to sleep that night (and quite a few others, by the way). Fast-forward a few years later. I am participating in yet another faculty retreat aimed at building community through “tolerating” difference. We are shuffled into pairs – ah, an adult education practice – and asked to share our visions for new directions in which the faculty should lead. When I shared my ideas to have an adult education undergraduate degree or graduate program, my partner simply stated: “Hiring you was a big mistake. You just do not fit.” I had the tough skin of tenure by then, so no crying that evening – although I did somewhat churlishly stomp all the way home. Even more recently I was accused of fragmenting the Leadership Studies program. Let me explain this. When I began working in Leadership Studies at the University of Victoria in 2002, our graduate student ratio was 85% schoolteachers and 15% everyone else. Today, the ratio is nearer 70% non-teachers in our regular program. Many are activists and artists. We have adapted courses – bringing in ideas of justice, diversity, social and environmental activism and change, the arts, and culture – and identified instructors to reflect and respond to this dramatic transformation, although they are few on the ground on this island. The question, therefore, is why are the 30% of teachers and school-focused courses not now seen as the fragmentation? Why is this new majority viewed as the splintering ram rather than the norm? The answer is simple. This is not a faculty of education but, rather, a faculty of schooling. Our new president just made this clear to us on his last visit. Sigh …
Budd: If the measure of success of our graduate adult education programs was to be the
degree to which we have been able to bring our values and approaches to the world of
teacher preparation, then an administrative home in a faculty of education is ideal. If
there are other units in our faculties that deal with adult populations that might be allies
of or supportive homes for adult education within a faculty of education, then nestling
down into this faculty is also viable. If, on the other hand, there are other progressive and
practice-oriented academic programs such as community development, social work, social
economy, environmental and women's studies, rural development, urban studies, or even
arguably community psychology, then adult education might well find itself better suited in
a space where it did not feel too overwhelmed by the weight of schooling discourse.

Darlene: But musician Leonard Cohen reminds that there are always cracks that let the light
in, even into the Faculty of Education. There have been discussions about weaving adult
education into the faculty. Some colleagues have openly stated they are more interested
in adult education – and believe it is what they do – than they are in schools. I have been
involved with two different working groups to expand our ideas of “education” as well as
discussions with Continuing Studies to better integrate their adult education courses into
our master's program so I am not the only adult educator. This latter initiative is because, as
alluded to above, copious numbers of students are entering our Leadership Studies program
with an interest and/or background in adult education (e.g., ESL, community development/
learning, arts-based adult education, environmental adult education, et cetera). I can actually
take most of the credit for this and see it as something to celebrate. Further, as you said,
Budd, my courses draw students from across campus – including environmental studies,
fine arts, women's studies, social work, geography, and sociology – who want to strengthen
the educational component of their community/social work. There are also those priceless
moments when a student who is a schoolteacher comes to me to reflect on how relevant she
feels adult education is to her own practice and decides adult education will be the focus of
her thesis. Finally, we have wonderful colleagues who not only understand adult education
but also believe its theory and practice can strengthen the teacher preparation program.
They were so passionate they wanted to create a stronger partnership between CASAE
and the Canadian Society for the Study of Education (CSSE) at the University of Victoria
Congress – not to subsume it, but to showcase its importance and value. Was it perfect?
Of course it was not. Often times at organizing meetings for Congress our relationship
was simply referred to as “CSSE.” Further, the app developed for the conference was at
first simply labelled “CSSE.” But when I drew attention to this oversight, heaven and earth
was moved to repair it as best they could. Having said this, our dean continues to refer
to Congress as CSSE. He remains truly and totally oblivious to the fact that CASAE even
exists. We are now looking for a new dean. I do not dare to hope he or she will have worked
outside the school system and have some idea of education that is not just school.

But returning to Congress and what we attempted to do, I question whether, without the
commitment of my colleagues, CSSE and CASAE will continue to move closer together. I
do hope it continues, as I have noted that, over the past few years, submitting a paper for
presentation at CSSE guarantees a very large audience. Only a very few of the students and
faculty who attend these sessions would normatively identify as adult educators, but they
come to our sessions because they are deeply interested in what we have to say and see
some kind of connection to their own teaching and research. This ability by these scholars
to see beyond the school walls is not only a compliment to our field, but something we need to give credit to more often. So while the systemic problems remain, we should never underestimate our colleagues or all teacher educators and the relevance of our field to them.

_Budd:_ I often need to remind myself that the academic field of adult education does not represent the entire world of adult education or adult learning, even within the world of academic disciplines. I teach community development in a school of public administration! I continue to explore learning within social movements. My work in community–university research partnerships or community-based research is often found in higher education. The formal study of adult education as a discursive area seldom surfaces in these settings. But I remain an adult educator and my work is informed profoundly by principles of what has come to be known in academia as adult education. I believe that what we need now and will continue to need are spaces where adult educators come together within their disciplinary guises, but I believe even more profoundly that what drives our work is much deeper. I became an adult educator because it seemed to be a field that was open to the kind of political and transformative changes that I believed in. Making a difference in the world came/comes first. To the degree that adult education is able to support this kind of a vision, it will continue to be both an essential practice and a challenging, yet creative, theoretical platform.

_Darlene and Budd:_ We close in poetic form:

**Imagine**

Learning is about our relationships,
our communities,
our places of work,
our bioregions,
our political structures,
our planet and our universe.
It is about us.
It is about the kind of work we do.
But above all it is about our right to imagine.
To imagine a context where we are each respected for who we are;
To imagine a life of sufficiency and health;
To imagine that everyone would have support to learn throughout their lives;
To imagine that all children could live without abuse;
To imagine that violence or the fear of violence in the lives of all women could disappear;
To imagine that race and ethnicity would be a code for creativity and contribution rather than a filter to exclude;
To imagine relationships of harmony and rhythm with the Earth;
To imagine that differences in ability could be cherished for what they make possible;
To imagine that learning mathematics, science, the arts, language, and our own bodies are aspects of the same;
To imagine that we have the courage and right to speak;
To imagine we have the capacity and knowledge to transform our lives.
Just imagine …
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